

Elaine & Paul Berg 4914 Andasol Ave. Encino, CA 91316

[elainerberg@gmail.com](mailto:elainerberg@gmail.com) 818 981 3625 May 17,2015

Sent Via e-mail [jdaugherty@townofmammothlakes.ca.gov](mailto:jdaugherty@townofmammothlakes.ca.gov)

Jen Daugherty

Senior Planner Town of Mammoth Lakes Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546

Dear Jen Daugherty,

We own unit 112 in the Snowbird condominium complex. As the project is proposed the effect on Snowbird is very **detrimental**.

The height variance faces a street Rainbow Lane, but treats Rainbow lane as an alley. By moving the setback requirement further toward the street ( Rainbow Lane) the detrimental effect is further enhanced.

Code for a corner lot was mandated, and this is not a corner lot! A variance is just that —**a variance to code** or rules set into place to protect the interest of all owners, and financial institutions that have investments in the Condominiums which can be adversely affected by the surrounding Condominium Complexes. The developer bought the lot, and should be limited to the code for this area. A varaince, for setback, height, elimination of parking. and etc. is not justifiable. Grading is costly, but that cost is part of the developer's project. To not have grading which protects the view and interest of all citizens is a disservice.

I ask that you consider all the citizens and inhabitants in the area. I am not opposed to a development, but to the development as proposed. We have paid for massive assessments to maintain our building, as have surrounding buildings and this project has a detrimental financial and aesthetic effect on our property. The parking situation on rainbow Lane is already complicated, but to remove parking to give a developer even less setback is not acceptable

Please reconsider **that 413 Rainbow lane** is not a corner lot. The **address** faces/ is on Rainbow lane. The setback must be maintained or we have a projected massive wall destroying our view and property value. Any height variance can not face Rainbow Lane. and the property entrance should be at the specific address—413 rainbow Lane.

Thank you for your time, and hope you will consider everyone's interest. The developer bought a lot with certain restraints and those should be honored!

Yours truly,

Elaine and Paul Berg

## Jen Daugherty

---

**From:** James A Maxwell <maxwell.jim@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 22, 2015 9:57 AM  
**To:** Jen Daugherty  
**Subject:** 413 Rainbow Lane (APN: 031-190-002-000)

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Zoning and development rules are there to give assurance as to the future development of property in an area that you own or are considering. Variances should not be granted just to allow a developer to make more money. As I stated in my earlier email, I strongly oppose increasing the height of the structures above 35 feet. I have not seen any information on retention of at least a good portion of the large trees on the site. I am also requesting that plan review and approval consider the overall environmental effect of losing these trees, and require that as many as possible are protected into the future. Large trees are a big part of the appeal of Mammoth Lakes, without them you may as well be somewhere else.

Thank you for your consideration,  
Jim Maxwell  
Owner of Courchevel #40

## Jen Daugherty

---

**From:** elaine berg <elainerberg@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 24, 2015 12:09 AM  
**To:** Jen Daugherty  
**Subject:** public hearing from Kerry Berg Snowbird Condo

Kerry Berg owns an interest in Snowbird Condo, and is very concened about the proposed variance. ALL the height faces Snowbird! The developer needs to grade his property to have the increased height, if allowed in the back! Ideally no variance should be allowed, and the setback, and facade to compliment the surrounding area!!!! As proposed it is a detriment to existing property.

## Jen Daugherty

---

**From:** elainerberg@gmail.com  
**Sent:** Sunday, May 24, 2015 4:33 PM  
**To:** Jen Daugherty  
**Subject:** Robyn Berg owns an interest in Snowbird Condo and is opposed to Variance proposals

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Snowbird Condo is a building which has had a presence in Mammoth and pride of ownership from owners. The subject of a new development is not opposed, but the town is approving a project which undermines the interest of the surrounding Condo buildings. Rules like setbacks and height limits were established to protect people. I ask you to make the developer grade his property and not have an unattractive ! I am sure the 16 townhouses will be expensive, and his costs to grade, respect setbacks, height limits are factored in when he purchased the property!

Sent from my iPad